

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/442,819	11/18/1999	WARREN F. SCHMALENBERGER	SCHC,002 6427	
7590 09/20/2007 Mark R. Wisner			EXAMINER	
Wisner & Associates			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
Suite 400 1177 West Loop South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77027-9012			3693	•
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/442,819	SCHMALENBERGER, WARREN F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel S. Felten	3693					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au	<u>ugust 2007</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.		•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •						
application from the International Bureau	•	in this ivational stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt of the RCE filed August 31, 2007 is acknowledged. Claims 1-32 are pending in the application and are presented to be examined upon their merits.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Reilly suggests that countries have developed composite series which reflect the performance of all securities, and that world capital markets are becoming integrated—leading to world capital indexes (see Reilly, "Composite Security Market Series"). It would have therefore been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to integrate the *stock index* of Merrill Lynch into the Solomon Brother's index and/or the money market index of Salomon Brothers into Merrill Lynch because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been familiar with the notoriously old and well known concept of "diversification" and thus have recognized the importance of diversification to provide a more integrated and comprehensive measure of the performance of capital markets of a country and/or various other countries. Thus the integration

Art Unit: 3693

of various indexes would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art. Thus the rejections are maintained herein, below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of in view of Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reilly, F.K., "Investment Analysis and Portfolio Management", 3rd Ed. The Dryden Press, Copyright 1989, pp. 165-170.
- --Re claims, 1-21 and 24-27, Reilly anticipates the inventive concept of the applicant's invention by providing a comprehensive list of combined market sector indexes. In particular,

Reilly discloses "Salomon Brothers International Bond and Money Market and Performance Indexes" which provides a comprehensive measure of the total return of high quality securities in mayor international sectors of the bond and money markets that is market-value weighted (see Reilly, page 165).

Art Unit: 3693

--Reilly also discloses the "Merrill Lynch-Willshire Capital Market Index" which is a market value-weighted index that was created to measure the total return performance of the combined stock and bond indexes (see Reilly page 165).

--Commenting on the importance of "diversity," Reilly suggests that countries have developed composite series which reflect the performance of all securities, and that world capital markets are becoming integrated—leading to world capital indexes (see Reilly, "Composite Security Market Series"). It would have therefore been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to integrate the stock index of Merrill Lynch into the Solomon Brother's index and/or the money market index of Salomon Brothers into Merrill Lynch because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been familiar with the notoriously old and well known concept of "diversification" and thus have recognized the importance of diversification to provide a more integrated and comprehensive measure of the performance of capital markets of a country and/or various other countries. Thus the integration of various indexes would have been an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill of the art.

Furthermore, an artisan Of.ordinary skill in the art would be familiar with the concept of a "balanced fund" or "asset allocation fund" which characteristically invests its assets into money markets, bonds, preferred stock and common stock with the intention to provide both growth and income. Thus, since it is known in the art to provide indexes based upon various fund types, a balanced fund index (e.g. *Lipper Balanced Fund Index*) would be an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3693

Re claims 22 and 23: Reilly fails to disclose, as in claims 22 and 23, that the indexes are calculated via a computer and encoded in the memory of a computer. However computers are widely used making business calculations and thus OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken for using computers for creating indexes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3693

aniel S Felten

Examiner Art Unit 3693

DSF 9/11/2007